

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,988		01/02/2004	Klaus Hartig	44046.203.214.1	5489	
22859	7590	10/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		PROPERTY GROU	DHINGRA, RAI	DHINGRA, RAKESH KUMAR		
		YRON, P.A.				
200 SOU	TH SIXTH	I STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 40	000		1763			
MINNEA	POLIS, M	IN 55402	DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4
: 77
W
U

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/750,988	HARTIG, KLAUS					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rakesh K. Dhingra	1763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>01</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ja	anuary 2004.	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1-34, drawn to apparatus (Plasma Spray System), classified in class 118, subclass 723E
- II. Claims 35-38, drawn to apparatus (Vacuum System), classified in class 156, subclass 345.29
- III. Claim 39-52, drawn to method, classified in class 427, subclass 524.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method does not specify use of coating feeder apparatus whereas the

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions

apparatus of Invention I specifies use of coating feeder apparatus and thus could be

used to practice materially different process.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the Invention of Group I (Plasma Spray System)

does not indicate any specific configuration for the vacuum system whereas the

Application/Control Number: 10/750,988

Art Unit: 1763

invention of group II (Vacuum system) gives a specific configuration. The subcombination (vacuum system) has a separate utility such as in asher systems. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the Invention of Group II (vacuum system) and Invention III (method for coating a cylindrical target) are unrelated since both these have different modes of operation and have different functions and effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and so on, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Further, if the applicant elects the invention of Group I, then this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species (embodiments) of the claimed invention:

- 1) Species (Embodiment) 1 [as per Figure 1]: Plasma system without particle control assembly and pre-clean assembly.
- 2) Species (Embodiment) 2 [as per Figure 3]: Plasma system including particle control assembly but without pre-clean assembly.
- 3) Species (Embodiment) 3 [as per Figure 4] Plasma system including pre-clean assembly but without particle control assembly.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,988

Art Unit: 1763

4) Species (Embodiment) 4 [as per Figure 5]: Plasma system including both particle control assembly and pre-clean assembly.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. As an example Claim 1 is generic to Species 1.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to John F. Dolan on 01/13/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,988

Art Unit: 1763

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

Page 5

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rakesh K. Dhingra whose telephone number is (571)-

272-5959. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -6:00 (Monday - Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571)-272-1435. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rakesh Dhingra

Parviz Hassanzadeh

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763